From: http://www.radio4all.org/fp/1098board/trans1.html

sitekeeper's note: This transcript was copied from Pacifica's website and reformatted for greater readability. Unlike previous transcripts, which have been done by court reporters, this was transcribed later from a tape, and so many of the Board members are listed as unidentified. I have color coded key members who are identified, as well as taking the liberty of adding paragraph breaks, also for readability. (I do not know who did the transcription - the transcript bears no certifications of authenticity as have those done by a certified court reporter in the past.)

TRANSCRIPT

PACIFICA BOARD OF DIRECTORS HOUSTON, TEXAS SUNDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1998

|DR. BERRY |Someone reminded me last night at KPFT that I had been here for a year. I want to say that I am, in a way, pleased by some of the things that have happened. A lowering of the temperature in terms of some of the conversations in the foundation. On the other hand I am somewhat displeased by things that occurred that make it appear that we are going backwards instead of forward. These are matters which occur which I believe are inconsistent with the strategic plan. By the way, if we decide to change the strategic plan we would have a process to change it. But until we do that I assume that is what we should be quided by it in the organization. And our main goals, according to the plan, are to mobilize listeners toward positive social change and to reach the largest possible audience. Some of our stations really forget that we are supposed to mobilizing listeners toward positive social change. And when you say that to some of the people at some the stations they ask us, What do you mean by mobilize? What do you mean by positive? What do you mean by social? And what do you mean by change? I think that anyone who reads the mission statement and the charter and the history of the organization ought to be familiar with what that means. So positive social change. The other goal is to reach the largest possible audience. New listeners obviously. Attract new listeners to reach the largest possible audience. In other words, not the smallest audience that consists of people like you who are already listening, but to reach a large audience. And then on the issue of national infrastructure, which has concerned me greatly, the goal is to develop and maintain national staff and systems adequate to serve effectively. Pacifica stations and the units. People, money, and so, in some cases what we have done is to try to reduce infrastructure rather the building infrastructure. Which is not a happy occurrence. And then to be guided by Pacifica's priorities. The first one that is on the list in the

	strategic plan is to consider what is best for Pacifica as a
	single entire network. So I think that with one step forward
	and one step backwards and two sidesteps we have been working
	\mid on those priorities, and I just hope that we continue to do \mid
	something.
	The next thing that I would like to do is to look at the
	agenda, and see that I am supposed to seat members, minutes,
	and schedule the next meeting. Madame Secretary, who of these
	members am I supposed to seat?
ROBERTA	We have one alternate here Wendell Johns. We have to seat
BROOKS	Dorothy Nasatir and Ralph McKnight as alternates.
DR. BERRY	Dorothy Nasatir and Ralph.
 MALE	So moved.
SPEAKER	
FEMALE	Second.
SPEAKER	
DR. BERRY	All in favor indicate by saying aye.
GROUP	Aye.
DR. BERRY	Opposed. So ordered.
ROBERTA	\mid I am assuming that we won't have to seat anybody from Texas. \mid
BROOKS	
DR. BERRY	Could I get a motion to approve the minutes of the last
	meeting?
MALE	So moved.
SPEAKER MALE	Second.
SPEAKER	
DR. BERRY	All in favor indicate by saying aye.
GROUP	Aye.
DOROTHY	My name was omitted from the alternate list on the minutes.
NASATIR	
DR. BERRY	Okay. When you correct the minutes, add Dorothy's name to the
	list.
	Then we need to schedule the next meeting. In terms of, you might look at schedules, in terms of my own schedule I can do
	February on the 19th, 20th and 21st.
 PETE	Madam Chair?
BRAMSON	
DR. BERRY	Yes.
PETE	There is an NFCB meeting in Berkeley in late March, which we
BRAMSON	\mid may want to coordinate with. So the city we are referring to \mid
	is Berkeley, is that correct?
FEMALE	It will be in San Francisco.
SPEAKER	
. PETE	And what is the date of that meeting. The reason I'm bringing
BRAMSON	it up is we may want to coordinate. In that there may be other staff, at that point we may be able to delay the
	meeting and time accordingly.
 FEMALE	It is the 19th, 20th, and 21st of March.
SPEAKER	
DR. BERRY	I don't know if I can do it. So why don't we tentatively see

if other people can do it? Can others of you do the meeting at that time? See, I'm in this habit, of polling people to ask if they can meet. Then we schedule the meeting. I realize that this is a very curious way to do a meeting. So I am asking can most of you, at least those of you who are here, come to that meeting? If you can't, then we won't have it then. JUNE I can't make the February 19th, 21. MAKELA DR. BERRY | I mean this date, March. JUNE Oh, that March date, oh I think so. MAKELA LYNN If I can say something about that March date? CHADWICK DR. BERRY Please. The conference will start on Friday. There will probably be LYNN an opening reception about Pacifica on that Friday evening. CHADWICK But the conference will be taking place itself, of course, as usual over the weekend. So if people were coming.... They would want to be at the conference instead of the DR. BERRY being...instead they would have to double duty. Yes. So the only way this meeting would be able to take off LYNN is if you came for the conference and then started on Sunday CHADWICK or something. Usually the conference wraps up on Sunday. What if we did it the week before? FEMALE And then people stay for the week? And then several days out LYNN CHADWICK of the office? PETE How many of the national board members actually attend the NFCB meeting? BRAMSON |DR. BERRY |Well then let's try. Can we do it on February 26th, 27th 28th? PETE Would you repeat the date please? BRAMSON DR. BERRY 26th, 27th, and 28th of February. Okay. In Berkeley. Madame Chair? PETE BRAMSON DR. BERRY Yes. PETE Could we look ahead to the May meeting? BRAMSON DR. BERRY June. PETE Well, there is a PRC meeting in Washington in May. Again, the same....it is in Washington in May? BRAMSON FEMALE Yes, it's Berkeley and then Washington. SPEAKER DR. BERRY |What is it? PETE The PRC. BRAMSON The Public Radio Conference. National Public Radio. LYNN CHADWICK ROBERTA Does the staff normally go to that? BROOKS FEMALE Not the national staff. Several of the stations have been

SPEAKER going, but given the conference line item directive. JUNE Conferences have been cut. So I think the staff is hoping to MAKELA attend some of these by piggybacking on the board meetings. LYNN I quess what I was thinking though, and Valerie has reminded me, the NFCB conference is focusing on celebrating 50 years CHADWICK of community radio. It may actually really behoove the directors, if I may be so bold, to come to this conference and get a flavor of what community radio is like. Board members from stations who come, come away getting a sense of the context of the operation that they are trying to support. The March 19th, 20th, and 21st. FEMALE SPEAKER LYNN Yes. The Public Radio Conference is focused on the NPR stations which have a very different mode of operating than CHADWICK our stations do. Although Garland carries PRI programming. DR. BERRY I just don't see how, given the stresses and strains of these meetings, unless they change somehow, and I would be very happy if they did, (laughs) I don't see how anybody has any time. I don't have time to breathe. LYNN You might want to do it sequentially. You might do it on Sunday and Monday. CHADWICK We don't have to go to the conference. This is something that JUNE MAKELA staff wants to do. Can we piggyback? We are celebrating our 50th anniversary. PETE BRAMSON JUNE Yeah, I mean why don't we piggyback so we can do a reception MAKELA for Pacifica and or have presence without attending their conference. We can be in the same place at the same time, and figure out strategically if there are staff that need to go. ROBERTA Let's just say if we did this in March and on Saturday, when BROOKS we have committee meetings, would the staff feel that they needed to be at the NFCB? DR. BERRY |We can't do this. We don't have time, I don't have time to do this. I don't know about the rest of you. I don't think the rest of you do either. ROBERTA But some of the directors that live in the Bay Area, maybe even in LA might come up for that. It is a 50th anniversary BROOKS thing. LYNN Well they should come, I would encourage them to come to this reception. I think it would be wonderful. CHADWICK DR. BERRY This is taking too long group. So what about the decision? Did we come up with a date for FEMALE SPEAKER the D.C. meeting? DR. BERRY |We're thinking of...no we didn't, and we're not going to. We'll think about...I have June 4th, 5th and 6th, or 25, 26 and 27 because we were looking at June dates. Could someone tell me if we are backed into June dates. Are those dates a problem for somebody? ROBERTA Well I prefer later as opposed to the earlier. BROOKS |DR. BERRY |Okay. Is there anybody else who has a problem? So that is

1	livet were tortation. We (we not eathling that
עתתיים תת	just very tentative. We're not settling that.
DR. BERRY	You know it's before the 25th. So the 25th, 26th, and 27th is likely. But we did settle the one before that. We will
	-
	re-confirm.
	(general cross talk)
ROBERTA	Madame Chair, could it just be noted though in the minutes,
BROOKS	and maybe we can expect to get information to the board of
	directors about the NFCB reception?
DR. BERRY	Absolutely. Now the next thing I want was to have the
	committee reports. I was going to start with Shirley Adams,
	butis she here? I'll take her whenever she comes, because
	she had to wait yesterday while we had all those committee
	meetings. I guess none of the Houston people are here except
	Garland. Garland's here. So we will take her when she comes.
	I want to report on the Executive Committee meeting. The
	Executive Committee discussed a lot of issues. One is that
	Lynn will be going back to New York to try to manage and
	resolve some issues related to management at WBAI. So she
	will be trekking back to New York to try to resolve those
	issues before we get some reports on how things are going
	there. The other issue is that we've received a letter from
	the CPB.
DR. BERRY	Those of you who have read it already will you pass it along
	to somebody else? What the letter seems to say is that
	Pacifica Foundation's current governance structure appears to
	be at variance with both the law and our guidelines. This is
	the CPB certification requirement for the CPB station grant recipients. The letter is dated September 14, 1998. It says
	"if it is true that a majority of members making up the
	governing board are also members the station community
	advisory boards, that makes it impossible for the two to
	remain distinct and independent. As you may be aware,
	compliance in this portion of the law is a legal rule of CPB
	in its interpretation. Failure to comply risks further CPB
	funding of the stations "When we discussed it in the
	Executive Committee I indicated that, and I am putting it
	before the board now, one response to this is to say, well if
	that's the case then we don't want any more CPB funding
	anyway. That would be one response. The board would handle a
	motion and vote that we don't care to be bothered with CPB
	anymore. Which is a matter not only of funding, but of say
	the word somebody.
FRANK	ASCAP, BMI licensing.
MILLSPAUGH	I I
FEMALE	Copyright royalties.
SPEAKER	I I
MALE	Validation.
SPEAKER	
DR. BERRY	$\left \texttt{Yes} \right.$ and matters of that kind. So that it is a very important $\left \right.$
	matter to Pacifica. In the meetings of the Administrative
	Council, the General Managers thought that the mere thought

1	lef gaving that we wouldn't be in semplicance descent makes and
	of saying that we wouldn't be in compliance doesn't make any
	sense. The funding is very important to us, but the other
	matters are very important to us also. So, I'll go on to the
	other option which is to say that we would want to ask our
	legal counsel whether we believe CPB's legal interpretation of this law.
	My only experience is that agencies do not send out in
	writing letters concerning their own regulations, unless they
	have carefully examined them. In ninety percent of the cases,
	they have correctly interpreted their own regulations. They
	are very cautious about putting things in writing. So before
	they write it down and put their name on it, they are
	careful. But we should ask our lawyer, and then we would, if
	the answer is yes, CPB is correctly interpreting their regs,
	we would then ask for the Governance Committee to review the
	matter and to come up with recommendations for the board to
	act on at its next meeting. And such recommendation would be
	in the form of a by-law change.
Ì	And that there are various options that the Governance
	Committee could consider. As well as any options that I am
	not listing that they may think of. Which is what committees
	are supposed to do. Such options as having people who are
	Governing Board member resign from Local Advisory Boards.
	That's an option. Or having people elect all the directors at
	large. That's a cure. There are various ways to approach this
	and I'm sure the board Governance Committee, which David
	Acosta chairs, will figure out something and bring it back to
	us.
	Then the staff, I understand that CPB is expecting us to
	respond to this letter by the end of this meeting. Because
	they expected us to discuss this issue at this meeting and to
	get back to them on what we intend to do about it. So I am
	hoping that if the board agrees with that process, that the
	staff will write a letter to them saying that the approach to
1	this matter is to have our legal counsel look at it. Then if it's necessary, then we will institute a by-law change, which
	the board will review and act on in the next meeting. So that
	the CPB would know that we are responding to them. Otherwise,
	the staff is in the position of trying to deal with CPB
Ì	without being in compliance after CPB has told them that
i	we're not. So that's where the matter stands. Any discussion?
MALE	Would the review provide to the board a description of all
SPEAKER	these various implications that it has for our not being
[involved in CPB? I mean you mentioned some things that I
	think a lot of people around the table maybe understand
	better than others. The implications of not being associated
	with this organization?
DR. BERRY	We can have them write out the benefits.
CHERYL F.	So the deliberations will be in conference call of the full
BRADFORD	committee not of the executive part of the committee.
DR. BERRY	The board governance committee will handle it. Who is on the
I	board governance committee?

PETE	David Acosta, Dr. Berry, Roberta, Cheryl, Andrea, Dorothy,
BRAMSON	Rob Robinson. And the staff is the E.D.
DR. BERRY	So that committee is the committee that will figure out what
	to do.
CHERYL F.	Can we schedule it far enough in advance so that we can take
BRADFORD	these issues back to the LABs also? I mean are we looking for
	local advisory board input into the discussion.
DR. BERRY	Well. Were you about to say something? Yes.
DR. BERRI PETE	I would hope that the process committee would kind of go over
BRAMSON	the process related to our portion. I feel strongly that
	there should still be a link between the local community
	board even though structure resides at the national board
	level. That's kind of the way it works in community radio
	right now. So I think it deserves a dialog, but I think the
	initial dialog resides at the board of governance level. At
	that point, if I as a governing board member wanted input
	into board governance structure, I would go to you because
	you're my friend on the board.
CHERYL F.	I'm talking about the LAB.
BRADFORD	
PETE	The LAB has all the input that is possible. There is only so
BRAMSON	much that can be done at the governing board level in regards
	to that input. Certainly, I am prepared to go back and have
	open by-laws with the local board.
DR. BERRY	Obviously people may have whatever by-laws they wish to have
	with whomever. I am discussing this in an open meeting, and
	it will be part of a public record. I expect there will be
	different reactions to this development. I hope that, the
	board will indicate to me at this meeting whether it is
	willing to entertain a change in our by-laws should it be
	necessary to put us in compliance. If the board is unwilling,
	I would like to know that. Because if the board is unwilling,
	then the staff should not hold out to CPB the notion that we
	are going through some kind of process, if the process
	results in a change and that's what we are planning on doing,
	but in fact we know we're not.
	So and I don't want to have a rerun of last year or whatever.
	I came in late on that process. But I don't want a rerun of
	that again. It may be inevitable, but I think, and we
	obviously need somebody to help us to manage the information
	dissemination on this. But I want this board at this meeting
	to make a decision as to whether you are willing should it
	turn out that that's what we have to do. To make some sort of
	by-law change, that you are willing to entertain a change on
	the subject of board composition and membership. If you are
	not, just tell me about it. And then we'll just tell CPB
	we're not. And don't expect us to come back in six months
	with a plan, because we're not planning on that.

PACIFICA BOARD OF DIRECTORS HOUSTON, TEXAS SUNDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1998

Part 2

	Part 2
DOROTHY	Would you entertain a motion?
NASATIR	
DR. BERRY	Yes I will. Then we will discuss it.
DOROTHY	I move that we move toward compliance with CPB and
NASATIR	investigate what needs to be done to get into compliance.
MALE	Second.
SPEAKER	
DR. BERRY	All right. Any further discussion? Ken you want to say
	something?
KEN FORD	Not until the vote is taken.
DR. BERRY	All right. Any more discussion?
ROBERTA	I think that the question that Cheryl raised is actually a
BROOKS	very interesting question. It goes to the heart of this
BROOKS	
	issue. I don' knowI'm not saying that you don't discuss
	it with the LAB, but I think the point that they are telling
	us is that there has got to be severance of the
	relationship. I thing it is going to be informational. It
	doesn't mean that you can't get input and suggestions
	perhaps of how we can begin to formulate a board. But the
	point is that they don't want there to be a connection
	between the board that advises the general managers and that
	does needs assessment and the governance board. I think the
	process is one that we maybe need to talk a little bit more
	about, in terms of whether we get suggestions that go to the
	board governance committee. I don't have a problem with
	this, but I think we going to have to be careful about
	this.
DR. BERRY	I think the suggestions should explain how we can manage
	this and sever this understanding that there are some people
	on the board who are representing LAB points of view, and
	all they are conduits for whatever LABs are thinking. As
	opposed to the people on the governing board are just
	looking at the whole organization, and they might get advice
	from anybody. But what they are bring to the table is their
	view, their independent view of what they think the
	organization as a whole should be doing. I think that is
	what this thing is trying to get us to do.
FRANK	I don't think there is any question about receiving the
MILLSPAUGH	input of the LABs. I think that would be an involuntary
	process, if not a voluntary one. This is a public meeting,
	$ {\rm and} \ {\rm they} \ {\rm probably} \ {\rm know} \ {\rm about} \ {\rm it} \ {\rm now}.$ I think there is one $ $
	point in the letter that could use clarification. The
	statement that "if it is true that a majority of members
	making up Pacifica's governing board" Is that literally
	what they mean? If it is less than the majority then we're
	all right? Or is the operable statement the one about the
İ	clear demarcation between? I just think that's a part that
I	

	we need clarified. That really should be clarified if
	possible before the governance committee makes its
	determination.
DR. BERRY	Well we will do that from the lawyer's advice process.
	Somebody else have a comment?
FEMALE	I think as LAB members we need to be prepared to give all
SPEAKER	the rationale as to why we want CPB money. I think the board
	governance committee can make that outline so we can come
	prepared to our board. Have them understand the losses and
	the gains of either choice, and why we made the choice we
	have.
DR. BERRY	When the transcript is put on the web site, there should be
	little brackets put in the transcript which explain the
	definitions of these discussions. So that whoever looks at
	the web site can see it. I think that will be useful. There \mid
	could be talking points for people to deal with, to explain
	to folks what it is that we are doing. We could get those
	sometime next week.
MALE	It might be, well to put it in the proper tone, for
SPEAKER	arguments that are valid for and arguments that are valid
	against.
DR. BERRY	I'm just talking about explaining what each one of these
	things is. That's all, without any argument about anything.
ROBERTA	I don't think we should give argument for and against it,
BROOKS	because we haven't made the decisions. This is background
 male	information. Yeah, that's right.
SPEAKER	
ROBERTA	The other thing we might ask the attorney to do is, we've
BROOKS	created the entity called the Council of Chairs, and see how
	that fits in.
 FRANK	Why should we raise issues CPB hasn't raised?
MILLSPAUGH	
KEN FORD	Yeah, and not only that, what's wrong with free association?
ROBERTA	Well I want to be in compliance is all I want to say, so I
BROOKS	would say that we just ask if there's any problem with that.
	I mean we could can informally have an LAB chair come to
	every board meeting. We have the governance board mandated
	to meet with the Council of Chairs on a regular basis.
DR. BERRY	Who mandated this?
ROBERTA	Well it was the board.
BROOKS	
DR. BERRY	I thought it was an informal meet and greet and say anything
	you want to say to the board. Because we are in the
	particular locality where we meet
JUNE MAKELA	There are these periodic conference calls with the Council
	of Chairs.
DR. BERRY	I have conference calls with them.
IOUNE MAKELA	They have no vote on the board, so technically you couldn't argue they have any control and or role on the governing
	board.
DR. BERRY	They are just informational. Now we will recognize Cheryl.
DIC. DERICI	They are just informational. Now we will recognize cheryl.

	IT thigh that the chale communications induction has had a
CHERYL F.	I think that the whole communications industry has had a
BRADFORD	moment of flux and change. The FCC is making all kinds of
	rulings that will probably will go through some process of
	deliberations. So might this. Actually it says, "after
	giving it some thought "So I think the idea for me is
	about having a dialog, and hopefully not a contentious
	dialog, although I'm not sure that is possible. I haven't
	heard a decision made even though people are framing it like
	we've made some decision, I'm not sure that it is one
	solution. I think that what it calls for is taking a look at
	what does the board mean. This says that "the community
Ì	advisory board", that is an entity that's not an
Ì	individual. For me, reading this letter, there are a million
	options that could come out of that. I don't know that we've
	made a decision. I don't know that even in the mind of the
	writer of this letter, it is conclusive. It's that as they
l I	have written this new ruling, he's beginning to think, well
1	what does that do these entities that we work with? He's
1	saying what if anything, how might it impact us? So I guess
	what I'm saying is that there's a feeling that I'm getting
	that we're about to jump a boat to get into compliance.
	Maybe what we have to do is engage our organization in some
	kind of deliberation and figure out what it does mean. There
	is an implication to community radio, not just to Pacifica
	and Pacifica's compliance.
DR. BERRY	Well, I don't read the letter that way. I mean the plain
	language of the letter at the bottom of page one, "my
	understanding Pacifica Foundation's current governance
	structure appears to be at variance with local law and our
	guidelines" If I wrote that sentence to somebody who was
	a grantee, I would mean that after looking at your structure
	you are at variance with the guidelines.
CHERYL F.	Well I mean the first paragraph.
BRADFORD	
DR. BERRY	As I read it I see, and I'm counting noses, in point of fact
İ	it's a majority of the members. As Frank says there is
i i	ambiguity but I notice when I count noses that I see that it
1	is a majority of members. That there are also members of the
	station boards, and that makes it impossible. The word
	impossible is pretty clear that the two can remain distinct
	and independent. It says we have little wiggle room. So I
	thinkwhich is why I raise the question. I raised
1	precisely for the reason that I wanted to hear what people
1	have to say. And you are saying something that I expected
1	
1	someone to say. I want to get a clear guidance as to whether
1	the board really is willing to go forward. If the board
	really wants to contend with the letter, and to argue
1	against the letter, and to fight with CPB to come up
1	arguments and engage in struggle. Or if the board is willing
	to say we need to be in compliance, the struggle will be
	about how we do it. What is the most efficient way that will
	maximize us doing what we want to do in Pacifica. Those are

	two options. One or the other, they both might work. I don't
 FRANK	know. Yes, Frank. We might have three options. I mean there's the take it and
MILLSPAUGH	shove it. There's the we'll do whatever you say. There is
İ	the well lets negotiate what this really means and what the
Ì	least infringement upon Pacifica's autonomy by CPB would be
	\mid represented. That kind of thing. I did want to observe as I \mid
	$\left \text{did yesterday, but shouldn't get lost, that our by-laws also} \right $
	provide for any at-large member who is from one of our
	broadcast areas. They sit ex-officio on our local advisory
	board. Clearly if the other goes, that goes. Although that
	is probably easiest.
DR. BERRY	So what is your wish ladies and gentlemen? I could for the
	question as a motion. Is there further discussion? Okay I call for the question. All those in favor of Dorothy's
	motion as seconded by Ken indicate by saying aye,
 GROUP	Aye.
DR. BERRY	Opposed.
GROUP	(silence)
DR. BERRY	Are you opposed.
KEN FORD	\mid No, no. I have a suggestion. Could you recognize me after \mid
	the vote is taken?
DR. BERRY	Oh I'm sorry.
KEN FORD	That's okay. I'm not going to let you forget me. In the
	future since this is a new policy from CPB, and from what I
	understand this is new as well as other regulations in the pipeline. I know staff is overloaded, but in some way or
	another we have go to be able to have someone review the
	federal register. Because all of these proposed changes are
	proposed in the federal register, and we need to have an
	opportunity to comment on those before they become final. I
	know that's an onerous task, but some kind of way we have
	$ \verb"got"$ to develop that to get our input. If we could have had $ $
	this all previously, I don't think we would be going through
	this vote right now. So, somehow I'm just saying for the
	future we need to figure out a way to try to comment on
	these things on a regulatory basis before they become final.
DR. BERRY	You want to be recognized.
LYNN	Yes I do. This is not a new ruling. The reason this letter
CHADWICK	came out is that CPB just finished with those large books
Ì	that were distributed. They had not issued books like that
	in a number of years so there was a whole scrutiny of the
	$ \operatorname{community}\ \operatorname{advisory}\ \operatorname{board}\ \operatorname{this}\ \operatorname{year}.$ This is not a change. It $ $
	is just that we've come under scrutiny.
KEN FORD	I was told that it was, but
LYNN	I know. I heard somebody say that, but that's not accurate.
CHADWICK	And in terms of the federal register, CPB rulings are not in
 ken ford	the Federal Register. They're not?
LYNN	No, they are not a federal agency. The rulings in the
CHADWICK	Federal Register that we are concerned about are FCC
1	

rulings, and the lawyer regularly updates us. DR. BERRY So, basically, what they did was to republish these rules. In the republication they reviewed the various community advisory board structures including ours and concluded tha hey you folks still look like you are out of compliance basically. Cheryl. CHERY F. Can I address Lynn? I am wondering if from your relationsh BRADFORD with the national community radio broadcasters if there is discussion about this? Is this a hardship for smaller community radio stations? LYNN
In the republication they reviewed the various communityadvisory board structures including ours and concluded thahey you folks still look like you are out of compliancebasically. Cheryl.CHERY F.Can I address Lynn? I am wondering if from your relationshBRADFORDwith the national community radio broadcasters if there isdiscussion about this? Is this a hardship for smallercommunity radio stations?
advisory board structures including ours and concluded thahey you folks still look like you are out of compliancebasically. Cheryl.CHERY F.Can I address Lynn? I am wondering if from your relationshBRADFORDwith the national community radio broadcasters if there isdiscussion about this? Is this a hardship for smallercommunity radio stations?
hey you folks still look like you are out of compliancebasically. Cheryl.CHERY F.Can I address Lynn? I am wondering if from your relationshBRADFORDwith the national community radio broadcasters if there isdiscussion about this? Is this a hardship for smallercommunity radio stations?
basically. Cheryl.CHERY F.Can I address Lynn? I am wondering if from your relationshBRADFORDwith the national community radio broadcasters if there isdiscussion about this? Is this a hardship for smallercommunity radio stations?
CHERY F.Can I address Lynn? I am wondering if from your relationshBRADFORDwith the national community radio broadcasters if there isdiscussion about this? Is this a hardship for smallercommunity radio stations?
BRADFORD with the national community radio broadcasters if there is discussion about this? Is this a hardship for smaller community radio stations?
discussion about this? Is this a hardship for smaller
community radio stations?
LVNN The other community radio stations do have separate and
CHADWICK distinct boards. This is a hardship for them to have to
maintain these. They have been maintaining them since they
got into CPB support.
DR. BERRY So we are the only ones.
LYNN Yes.
CHADWICK
ROBERTA We are the only ones.
BROOKS
DR. BERRY So that's the other reason why they want to bring us into
compliance. Probably somebody is complaining.
MALE Why would they complain? I can see why we might.
SPEAKER
JUNE MAKELA So let's get into compliance and move forward guys.
DR. BERRY We voted that instead of saying we're not getting into
compliance and shoving it essentially, that we will follow
the process of getting into compliance. Which includes fir
we'll ask the lawyers opinion. Then after that the board
governance committee will review all this, and bring back
something. Staff can write a letter, which I'll sign, to C
saying that we did discuss it and that we are in the proce
of making sure that we are in compliance. Now you must
expect, those of you who are unwary, that you will be
assailed by people complaining about this. And by the time
get home e-mail will be overloaded and I'll be getting
messages by e-mail saying, they've done it again. But let'
hope it doesn't get to be like it was last time.
JUNE MAKELA Tell them to write to CPB.
DR. BERRY That's a great idea. Anyone who wished to complain about
this process should get in touch with CPB.
FRANK I don't know that we want to encourage
MILLSPAUGH
got one other thing. The search. Where did Roberta go? Jus
when I was going to talk about the search.
KEN FORD Well she knew you were going to talk about the search.
DR. BERRY First of all we should thank Roberta and the Search
Committee for their very hard work looking for the Executi
Director. The entire board met and interviewed the finalis
for this job, and voted to make an offer to a candidate. T
board listed two candidates in priority order. One. Two. T
outcome was that a small group including myself and Robert

and Andrea and I am now asking Ken to join this group, would begin to negotiate with the number one candidate. Those negotiations are ongoing, and as soon as they are concluded we will proceed with candidate number one. Should that fail we will proceed with number two. We have had success in this endeavor. We are pleased that the Search Committee has worked so hard, and we have been able to do what we said we would do which was to make a choice at this meeting. Which most people felt we wouldn't be able to do. So we have at least done that. All right. The next item is a report of the Finance Committee. JUNE MAKELA We had a lengthy and productive finance meeting yesterday. I think most of the board was there so I will try to keep my report brief, because I think most everyone knows what I am going to say. I want to start by acknowledging that listener support continues to go up. This is very good, since our expenses continue to go up. But we have, in every single unit, gone beyond the projections in the 98 fiscal year budget for listener support. In all but two units, we had surpluses or a balanced budget at the end of the fiscal year. Which is also good news. Other good news, I know every year we are concerned about KPFK meeting its CPB target. I am pleased to report it has done so. I am pleased to report that WBAI completed its move pretty much on budget, and succeeded in raising over \$100,000 in donations to offset costs for the station that were not covered by the payment from Pacifica. So the move has been completed and things are pretty much settled as far as physical space. For those of you who didn't attend the meeting in June, it's a beautiful new space for WBAI. KPFT, although it ended the year with a deficit, is building audience and had a sizable increase in listener support. It came in \$40,000 over its projected budget. And so we are beginning to see as with WPFW, you know the audience is building. There is potential and we are hopeful that we can stabilize KPFT financially. I am also pleased to report that WPFW generated a surplus. For those of us who have been on the board for many years this indeed a victory. That WPFW is clearly on the road to becoming a strong financially stable station. The membership base is growing steadily, and in fact, W is scheduling a part of its surplus to repay a long-standing debt to Pacifica. We have ongoing concerns about the Solomon project although both the Controller and the Executive Director assure me that it should be fully operational soon. We are looking to get a report that is still outstanding as far as the full cost of Solomon and an explanation of any budget overruns. We had a lengthy discussions on each unit budget. We approved budgets for all of the units with the exception of |

WBAI and the National Office budget. So you are being asked to ratify the budgets that appear in your package or the supplement distributed by Vanessa. For KPFA, KPFT, KPFK, WPFW, the archives and national programming. The one change on the budgets is for WPFW. As I mentioned the final number from Sandra on the surplus, half of the surplus will show up as debt retirement, and the other half will be rolled over for the next fiscal year. We did not approve the WBAI budget or the National Office budget. Both budgets are being sent back to appropriate staff, including the Controller, to be finalized.

We will look to receive new draft budgets within ten days. I think we've scheduled a meeting for the end of October to look at new budgets. Adjustments need to be made on both of those budgets. WBAI will need to schedule a deficit that it has from fiscal year 98. The National Office has been required to restore support for a press person in some form and at some level, and necessary support for the board and its activities. So we are going back to the Executive Director and the Controller to ask that they find other ways to cut costs to put these back in the budget. And/or provide us with a plan of how to generate the income that we would need to cover those costs that we want to put back in the budget.

We didn't put a number on that, but this will be negotiated with Sandra and Pat, and then brought to the committee in October. We approved the SCA budget as presented in the supplement that everyone has and we approved the 50th Anniversary budgets as submitted by the 50th Anniversary Committee and staff. Ongoing concerns. We are still concerned and do not have a plan in place to repair, rebuild, and replace the transmitter at KPFK. We got a draft report of the needs and the price tags, but we continue to be concerned and see this as a high priority, and expect the staff to come up with a plan both short run and long run for solving these technical needs.

We had discussion I guess at both the Program Committee and our committee about having to cut one of the national programs. Our understanding is that there will be a plan to deal with this program by KPFA and national staff. If there are financial implications a budget and a plan will be resubmitted to the Finance Committee. Finally, the committee asked for a discussion at the next meeting about the dwindling SCA income, and how we plan to replace that money which is now being used to subsidize various aspects of our work. We are requesting that the staff prepare written report two weeks before the next board meeting for the Finance Committee to review, and then prepare for a substantive discussion about this. And not only about replacing the SCA, but a real plan for staffing...for building infrastructure and developing a plan to get the National Office to a level that meets our infrastructure

	needs and can carry out the strategic plan. So this will be
	the main discussion at our next finance meeting.
	We still have not received a report on the sort of emergency
	plan for the archives. I would appreciate that as soon as
	possible. That's it. Finally, I forgot to mention yesterday,
	David Acosta and Sandra have been working on finding a new
	accounting firm. We had planned to present that at this
	meeting and David contacted me before the meeting to say
	that he has interviewed a number of firms, and is not
	prepared to make a final recommendation. He and Sandra would
	like to do that at the next meeting. So we will have someone
	$ {\tt on} $ board this winter to start the audit. That's it. Unless I $ $
	have forgotten anything if anyone has anything to add.
DR. BERRY	Can I have a motion to approve the report of the Finance
	Committee please?
RALPH	So moved.
MCKNIGHT	
DR. BERRY	Can I get a second?
DOROTHY	Second.
NASATIR	
DR. BERRY	Any further discussion? Yes.
ROBERTA	I really would like to you to monitor that very closely.
BROOKS	We've been talking about this for several years and every
	year we're told we didn't get it done in time. We have to
	start the audit at the beginning of the year. We were told
	several years ago in the retreat that it's extremely
	important to change auditors. We've had the same auditor for
	15 years. I am extremely concerned about it. I am not happy
	with this. That we're going to make a decisionwe've been
	hearing this for the last two sessions.
JUNE MAKELA	There actually has been progress. There are a number of
	firms. There are finalists. There have been long interviews.
	We needthe reason we didn't do it this past summer is
	that it was delayed. We needed someone to start in the
	spring.
ROBERTA	I know.
BROOKS	

PACIFICA BOARD OF DIRECTORS HOUSTON, TEXAS SUNDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1998

Part 3

	Part 3
JUNE MAKELA	Because of the transition of staff, of executive staff, the decision
	was made by David, or recommended to the chair and myself by David,
	and we agreed that it didn't make sense to do it at that point. We
	were in the middle of the Solomon.
ROBERTA	I understand that. I am just saying that I don't want to hear that
BROOKS	again next year. So, I heard it two years in a row. Two years is
	enough I don't want to hear it again.

JUNE MAKELA 	Right. Yes. No, and I think David is very clear, and he's done a lot of work. He's surveyed through networks. He's interviewed countless firms. I am not sure, I didn't get the details, I think they were not prepared to choose one by this meeting.
ROBERTA BROOKS	Well then I guess the thing to say is to maybe get the board to authorize the executive committee to make a decision if the time comes beyond which we wouldn't be able to hire somebody for next year.
JUNE MAKELA	I mean if they are ready we can do it in a conference call of the Finance Committee if you want us to do it you know.
DR. BERRY 	And you don't even need the authorization to do it. The executive committee acts for the board between meetings in matters that need to be taken up. But we should inform the board, and if anyone objects
ROBERTA	which of course the committee won't do it. This has nothing against Mike Krycler. I know he has done an excellent
BROOKS	job. Just a second, Pete is saying something.
PETE BRAMSON	I would hope that on the next finance call we just scheduled for in 10 days or at some point, that we could have a serious update on the accounting firm. That would lend clarity to that issue for 10 days.
DR. BERRY 	Then if we get it and then we get a recommendation from them, then the executive committee would just
JUNE MAKELA DR. BERRY	Do it. We could do it in a matter of months.
JUNE MAKELA	I think we can. We can decide right now that we will do it.
DR. BERRY 	And then we'll have the person in place and then next year we won't hear the same thing. We'll hear something else. All right and further discussion of the Finance Committee? Yes.
KEN FORD 	Just as a small matter. I think given the hard work that has been done by a lot of the units, that when they are running a surplus, that some form of verbal recognition should be in the water for them. To show appreciation to them by the board for the hard work that they did.
JUNE MAKELA	That's why I mentioned it, but I'm sorry. I am very under the weather this morning so I am not sounding very enthusiastic. I meant to sound very enthusiastic.
DR. BERRY	I will repeat the commendation of the general managers, the staff and volunteers at KPFK, WPFW and hope that they continue to doing a good job.
JUNE MAKELA DR. BERRY 	I think you should repeat the commendation. I will repeat the commendation of the general managers, the staff and volunteers of KPFK, WPFW and KPFA who have generally been of service, and hopefully will continue to do so. Could we raise the question? All those in favor of approving the commendation and the report, indicate
	by saying aye.
GROUP DR. BERRY 	Aye. Opposed? So ordered. Frank, could you give the report of the Program Standards and Practice committee?
FRANK MILLSPAUGH	Yes, thank you. The principal subject we took up yesterday was the issue of the Larry Bensky program, "Living Room," which was referred to us by the Finance Committee for deliberations. Our discussion
	recognized the value of Larry Bensky's programming to Pacifica and affirmed that the show "Living Room" serves a valuable role in the national programming offering. It was observed that the show meets the needs of some stations rather better than it meets the needs of
	others. And we recommended to the Finance Committee that this program be continued. We charged the National Office with negotiating with Larry a reformatting and/or rescheduling of the program to make it
	more useful to all the stations. And the Administrative Council is charged with recommending means of funding the program as theas any new budget results from the rescheduling and reformatting of the
	program. That was subsequently reported out to the Finance Committee.We used that also to introduce the discussion, which was onlyintroduced, into Pacifica's "Must Carry" rule. Not to be confused with
	the FCC "Must Carry" rule. There has been over the years controversyabout the implementation of the "Must Carry" rule, what itsrequirements precisely are, what its origination was, and what
	compliance consists of, and what penalties should be required for noncompliance, if any. So, this has to be deferred for a longer conversation. We have scheduled a meeting of the Program Committee for

the 21st of October at 11:00 a.m. and we will introduce...by which time I will provide members of the committee with a history that was prepared for me by the national staff of the "Must Carry" rule. We will also discuss progress of the LABs in performing community ascertainment. I would just simply mention that the stations do seem to be making progress. One station is excused from this activity for a period of time not yet to be determined because of the lack of an LAB. However the remaining stations, the other stations do indicate a good date beginning on this, we have a first receipt, the first report, in writing from KPFT, which I want to circulate to all the LABs as kind of a model for reporting. These materials will be distributed to the Program Committee members before our telephone meeting on the 21st. DR. BERRY Hasn't KPFK reconstituted its LAB so maybe we can get started with that. FRANK Well then I'll circulate all these materials to you. MILLSPAUGH DR. BERRY Okay. Thank you very much. Can I get a motion to accept the Program Standards Committee report? ANDREA CISCO So moved. DOROTHY I have a question. Did your report indicate that we were going to have a report back on the Bensky issue before or by the next phone call? NASATIR I'm sorry, you're absolutely right. In fact, the period of time is ten FRANK MILLSPAUGH days. So we should have that report then say next, a week from tomorrow, or Tuesday, so that would be in sufficient time, it will be sufficient, yes. DR. BERRY Will somebody second the motion? I'll second. ROBERTA BROOKS DR. BERRY Okay. Roberta seconded. Who made it? Andrea. Okay. DOROTHY I wanted to ask, what is the progress on the community ascertainment NASATIR effort? FRANK Well, there are different levels of progress. Some are farther along MILLSPAUGH than others, but all of them have done pretty substantial planning. KPFT has done a lot of work on assessing different sources of information, everything from call-in lines and so on. WBAI has initiated a program of listening to the programs and making non-judgmental descriptions of their content, and how many station breaks they do and how much forward promotion if any, they do, you know, but that kind of thing. There are plans at various places for everything ranging from focus groups to town hall meetings to questionnaires to the subscribership. Now that you're ready to get up and running on this, I'll provide you with all that material. Should I send it to both of you? I sent some to Ralph by e-mail a few weeks ago. DOROTHY I would appreciate that because I'm on the ascertainment committee. NASATIR FRANK Sure. You've got it. MILLSPAUGH DR. BERRY Okay. Yes, Roberta. ROBERTA Once the report back about Bensky is made and the attempts to reformat BROOKS to make this more useful to all stations, what is the implication of that? That all stations will then carry it? Well that's a reasonable induction. FRANK MILLSPAUGH ROBERTA I mean I've always thought it was a reasonable induction, but it BROOKS hasn't happened. So I'm just curious if this is going to take it a step further. I mean was there a commitment made on the part of other stations to carry it? FRANK Well, I don't think that they commit. The commitment was with me MILLSPAUGH expressly at yesterday's meeting. I must say the reformatting may not go in that direction. It may be decided that that's not possible. One of the principal problems is the three-hour time difference and scheduling difficulty. For instance, one option may be to redesign it as a California and perhaps Texas program, perhaps of greater length or lesser length, or some days, or you know...that's up for grabs. The idea is to bring in a proposal, maintain this program. That with a new budget for it, and for the managers and Administrative Council who, after all may want to retain this program, to also propose a way of

	meeting its costs.
ROBERTA	I have to apologize for spacing out a little bit when you got to the
BROOKS	"Must Carry" part.
FRANK	About the "Must Carry"? I just simply said that you introduced that
MILLSPAUGH 	issue in conjunction with the Bensky discussion. And that the staff has prepared a chronology of the issue along with its different
	history of attempts to fund the national programming. And that I will
	duplicate and distribute that to the members of the committee in
	advance of our telephone conversation.
ROBERTA BROOKS	Could you just distribute that to the entire board?
FRANK	In that instance, I guess I'll ask the national staff to distribute it
MILLSPAUGH	to the entire board.
	We'd be thrilled to.
ROBERTA BROOKS	I'd love to see that.
DR. BERRY	Now we need to lay to rest the "Must Carry" piece. I am tired of the
	"Must Carry" issue. My understanding when I came on this board was
	that there was a "Must Carry" rule.
LYNN CHADWICK	There is.
ROBERTA BROOKS	Inere is.
DR. BERRY	And that there are stations that do not carry things because they
	don't want to. Which seemed to me to be like my nephew who refuses to
	eat his cereal because he does not want to. And he therefore eats
ROBERTA	bacon and eggs. Which he makes himself. [laughter]
BROOKS	
DR. BERRY	So is there a "Must Carry" rule or is there not a "Must Carry" rule?
ROBERTA	There is.
BROOKS DR. BERRY	 There is a "Must Carry" rule? First, Lynn you wanted to say something.
	I think there is a rule. However, there are a lot of questions about
	the parameters of the rule. For example, does it mean that you have to
	carry it during special events, fundraising, holidays? Those are the
DR. BERRY	kinds of questions.
CHERYL F.	Cheryl has her hand up. On this Bensky issue, I tried to get some sense of what some of the
BRADFORD	issues were and it did seem that in this particular case the carriage
	of the program live, that the conflict was about how it could be
	carried. So it kind of suggested to me that maybe there's a process
	for implementing "Must Carry" that takes into consideration the towns, the stations. I'm sorry.
DR. BERRY	Pete were you trying to say something?
PETE BRAMSON	Yeah. As I am unfamiliar with this particular rule, is there any one
	individual who could tell me specifically
DR. BERRY	I'm going to tell you what I think it is. I was told that if three stations out of five agreed that a program should exist, a national
	program, that the stations would all carry it. Did I state it?
ROBERTA	You did state it right.
BROOKS	That (a what I was told
DR. BERRY ROBERTA	That's what I was told. And would you like another rationale?
BROOKS	
PETE BRAMSON	No. Not yet. So to that end, three of five "Must Carry". Is there
	any defined timeslot?
ROBERTA BROOKS	Not yet.
	So they can run it at any time, and it would then allow them to be
	within the "Must Carry", and as I understand it, three of five defines
	it as five of five.
ROBERTA BROOKS	Right.
	And how is the determination made, at what council or what structure
	says that this has been offered and that there's been a vote, and it's
	three of five. Is that at the Administrative Council?
ROBERTA BROOKS	Yes.
	I

<pre>DR. BERRY Staff. DFTE BEAMSON So Sury Stixit's show gets addressed by staff and it gets kicked up to Administrative Council. LINN CHARVER Signal Soury Stixit's show optimum of the staff and it gets kicked up to Administrative Council. DFTE BEAMSON So Sury's show wouldn't go up because it's localized. DR. BERRY MARLA National programs for national funding. DFTE BEAMSON So Sury's show wouldn't go up because it's localized. DR. BERRY Mit is only nationalized, correct? DR. BERRY Mit is an optimum of the programs. DFTE BEAMSON Now many national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? Three. DFTE BEAMSON Now many national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? Three. DFTE BEAMSON Now many national programs of the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just heave been opperating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just heave been opperating of extraord. BROOKS MARK SCHUEB May I? Excuse me. I was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a 'Must Carry' when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way. BROOKS MARK SCHUEB Is the started that way. It might be bing optimized for all forwards; hen we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the anional program fund and national programs that we have. Beanky, Living Room, Jerry Brown There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not Must Carry' programs because they were not must carries. And Pat Soct was in that room as well in all those meeting, and if you exe. Must Astry: program because they were not must carries. MARK SCHUBB The National Pro</pre>	PETE BRAMSON	And how are shows brought up to the, addressed at that level?
 Administrative Council. LYNN CRANNCR Hight. PETE BRANSON Are all shows brought up? DR. SERRY JUST through the national. You're talking about JUBE MARKLA National programs for national funding. PETE BRANSON So Suzie's show wouldn't go up because it's localized. DR. BERRY PETE BRANSON This only nationalized, correct? R. BERRY Nght. Right. Thas nothing. DR. BERRY Nght. Right. Int has nothing. Mark vanted to say something. MARK SCHUBS I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers Mark vanted to say something. MARK SCHUBS I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers Mark vanted to say something. MARK SCHUBS I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers Mark sont collowing policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five Wast Carry' rule was adopted around national pperial coverage of the originals. MOBERTA MARK SCHUBS MARY 17 Excuse me. I was, there were no national program other than I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin MARK SCHUBS MARK SCHUSS	DR. BERRY	Staff.
<pre>LYNN CHADWICK Right. PTET BRANSON Are all shows brought up? PTET BRANSON Are all shows brought up? DR. BERRY Just through the national. You're talking about J JUNE MAKELA National programs for national funding. PETE BRANSON DS Suzie's show wouldn't go up because it's localized. DR. BERRY Right. It has nothing to do with it. PETE BRANSON It is only nationalized, correct? DR. BERRY Right. PETE BRANSON How many national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? GROUP Three. PETE BRANSON How many national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? GROUP Three. PETE BRANSON How many national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? DR. BERRY Mark wanted to say something. MARK SCHUBB I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five 'Must Carry' rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA That's not correct. That is not correct. RECOMS MARK SCHUBB May 1? Excuse me. I was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a 'Must Carry' when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way. RECOMS MARK SCHUBB II three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage. then we would all carry it live. I was in every maeting we ever had to deaign and create the national program. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry' programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries, and that it was may manger why was there, they were were to being optimized for out of five voted to find something, it would get funded. And then i</pre>	PETE BRAMSON 	
DR. BERRY Just through the national. You're talking about JUNE MAKLAN National programs for national funding. PETE BRANSON So Suzie's show wouldn't go up because it's localized. DR. BERRY Right. Right. It has nothing to do with it. PETE BRANSON It is only nationalized, correct? DR. BERRY Right. PETE BRANSON To womany national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? GROUP Three. PETE BRANSON So this dialogue is around three programs. DR. BERRY Mark wanted to say something. MARK SCHUBB I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five "Must Carry" rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA That's not correct. That is not correct. RAK SCHUBB Mark yare, which was a 'Must Carry' when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polied on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It night be it started that way. ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It night be it started that		Right.
<pre>JUDE MAKELA National programe for national funding. JETTE ERANGEN So Survey's show wouldn't go up because it's localized. DR. BERRY Right. Right. It has nothing to do with it. PETTE BRANGEN It is only nationalized, correct? DR. BERRY Right. JETTE BRANGEN How many national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? Three. JETTE BRANGEN How many national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? GROUP Three. JETTE BRANGEN SO this dialogue is around three programs. DR. BERRY Mark wanted to say something. MARK SCHUBB I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five "Must Carry" rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA That's not correct. That's not correct. That is not correct. RODESTA That's not correct that was there were notational programs other than the news, which was a "Must Carry" when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way. BROOKS MARK SCHUBB If three out of five agreed that we should do some national apecial coverage, then would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have, Bensky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was alaways an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not must carries. And Pat Soct was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was three, they'l itell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stationa</pre>		
DR. BERRY Right. Right. It has nothing to do with it. PFTE BRAMSON It is only nationalized, correct? DR. BERRY Mann and national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? TMPEC BRAMSON DR. BERRY Mark wanted to say something. DR. BERRY Mark wanted to say something. MARK SCHUBB I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyon's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five "Must Carry" when adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA That's not correct. That is not correct. BROKS Mary 1? Excuse me. I was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a "Must Carry" when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polied on breaking coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have. Benaky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit. absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not must carries. And Pte Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and fyou ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if our out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations woul		
<pre>PFTE BRAMSON It is only nationalized, correct? Right. PFTE BRAMSON PFTE BRAMSON FOR SERVER PFTE BRAMSON PFTE BRAMSON FOR So this dialogue is around three programs. PFTE BRAMSON DR. BERRYA Mark wanted to say something. MARK SCHUBB I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to gay everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five 'Must Carry' rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA That's not correct. That is not correct. BROOKS MARK SCHUBB MA</pre>		
 DR. BERRY Right. DR. BERRY Right. DFTE BRANSON How many national programs are we dealing with? What's the world here? Three. DFTE BERANSON DR. BERRY Mark wanted to say something. MARK SCHUBB I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five "Must Carry" rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA That's not correct. That is not correct. ROBERTA That's not correct. It was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a "Must Carry' when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way. ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It might be is nevery meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have, Bonsky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not 'Must Carry' not the assumption were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries. PEMALE Who said this? PEMALE Who said this? PEMALE Who said this? PEMALE Who said this? MARK SCHUBB Harimans and the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to be created and done. I agree with the settiment that if we're going to invest in a national program would wast it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that sho		
Identify Three. PETTE BRANNON No this dialogue is around three programs. DR. BERRY Mark wanted to say something. MARK SCHUBB I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. No to say veryono's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five 'Must Carry' rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA That's not correct. That is not correct. BROOKS MARK SCHUBB MARK SCHUBB May 1? Excuse me. I was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a 'Must Carry' when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way. BROOKS If three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage, then we would all corry in porgram fund and national programs that we have. Beneky, Living Room, Jerry Brewn. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not 'Must Carry' source be avere to be any optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if our out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "must carries.		-
 PETE BRAMSON SO this dialogue is around three programs. MARK SCHUBB Mark wanted to say something. MARK SCHUBB I think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five "Must Carry' rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA That's not correct. That is not correct. BROOKS MARK SCHUBB May 1? Excuse me. I was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a "Must Carry' when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way. BROOKS MARK SCHUBB If three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have, Benaky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was alaways an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carring that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to be created and done. I agree with the schedules of each of the five stations. So just for information purposes, we have had a completely diffe		
MARK SCHUBBIt think there is a disconnect between the information the managers have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five "Must Carry' rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals.ROBERTA RODESTAThat's not correct. That is not correct.RODESTA BROOKSMark SCHUBBMARK SCHUBBMay 1? Excuse me. I was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a "Must Carry" when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin RODESTA Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way.BROOKSBIf three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs btac we have, Beneky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not bung optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries.FEMALE MARK SCHUBBThe National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreewith about how these shows were going to be created and done. I agree with the sentiment that if we're going		
<pre>have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five "Must Carry" rule was adopted around national special coverage of the originals. ROBERTA BROOKS MARK SCHUBB May I? Excuse me. I was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a "Must Carry" when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA RAYDE it started that way. It might be it started that way. BROOKS MARK SCHUBB If three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage, then we would all carry if live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have. Benaky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries. FEMALE Who said this? SPEAKER MARK SCHUBB The National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'il tull you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to invest in a national production, it should be carried on all five stations, but it does require creating programs that will actually unt hurt the schedules of each of the five stations. So just for information purposes, we have had a completely different set of meetings, a c</pre>		
BROOKS MARK SCHUBB May I? Excuse me. I was, there were no national programs other than the news, which was a "Must Carry" when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way. BROOKS If three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have. Bensky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries. FEMALE Who said this? SPEAKER Mark Appened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to be created and done. I agree with the sentiment that if we're going to invest in a national production, it should be carried on all five stations, but it does require creating programs that will actually not hurt the schedules of each of the five stations. So just for information purposes, we have had a completely different set of meetings, a completely different conversation with the Administrative Council, and every manager will confirm that it was said that the national programs were not must carries, and that if there weren't four vote	MARK SCHUBB	have been operating under, and the information the board has been operating under. Not to say everyone's right. But we have not just been not following policy. We've been following policy. The three out of five "Must Carry" rule was adopted around national special coverage
<pre>the news, which was a "Must Carry" when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking coverage or hearings of extraordin ROBERTA Maybe it started that way. It might be it started that way. MARK SCHUBB If three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have. Bensky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not 'Must Carry" programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries. FEMALE Who said this? SPEAKER MARK SCHUBB The National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Soct was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to invest in a national production, it should be carried on all five stations, but it does require corries, and that if we're going to invest in a national production, it should be carried on all five station purposes, we have had a completely different set of meetings, completely different conversation with the Administrative Council, and every manager will confirm that it was said that the national programs were not must carries, and that if there weren't four votes to fund each time, the programs wouldn't continue. And actually, what's happened is because Bensky's program has not enough votes to continue funding and yet the show was continued anyway for some rid</pre>		That's not correct. That is not correct.
ROBERTA BROOKSMaybe it started that way. It might be it started that way.BROOKSMARK SCHUBBIf three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have, Bensky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries.FEMALEWho said this?SPEAKERMARK SCHUBBMARK SCHUBBThe National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would ge funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to be created and done. I agree with the sentiment that if we're going to invest in a national production, it should be carried on all five stations, but it does require creating programs that will actually not hurt the schedules of each of the five stations. So just for information purposes, we have had a completely different conversation with the Administrative Council, and every manager will confirm that it was and that the national programs were not must carries, and that if there weren't four votes to fund each time, the programs wouldn't continue. And actually, what's h	MARK SCHUBB	the news, which was a "Must Carry" when I became manager. And then I was told there was a policy that when we were polled on breaking
MARK SCHUBBIf three out of five agreed that we should do some national special coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have. Bensky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries.FEMALEWho said this?SPEAKERThe National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to invest in a national production, it should be carried on all five stations, but it does require creating programs that will actually not hurt the schedules of each of the five stations. So just for information purposes, we have had a completely different set of meetings, a completely different conversation with the Administrative Council, and every manager will confirm that it was said that the national programs. Bensky's program has not enough votes to continue funding and yet the show was continued anyway for some ridiculous reason.DR. BERRYNo. What is the answer to Mark's restriction of what the "Must Carry" policy is?FFANKI had, that's part of the difficulty of the determination. There ar	ROBERTA	
coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have, Bensky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must carries.FEMALEWho said this?SPEAKERThe National Program Director said they were not must carries.MARK SCHUBBThe National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to be created and done. I agree with the sentiment that if we're going to invest in a national production, it should be carried on all five stations, but it does require creating programs that will actually not hurt the schedules of each of the five stations. So just for information purposes, we have had a completely different set of meetings, a completely different conversation with the Administrative Council, and every manager will confirm that it was said that the national programs were not must carries, and that if there weren't four votes to fund each time, the programs wouldn't continue. And actually, what's happened is because Bensky's program has not enough votes to continue funding and yet the show was continued anyway for	1	The three put of five errord that we should de some matienal special
FEMALEWho said this?SPEAKERIntervent the set of	MARK SCHUBB	coverage, then we would all carry it live. I was in every meeting we ever had to design and create the national program fund and national programs that we have, Bensky, Living Room, Jerry Brown. There was always an explicit, absolutely explicit statement that these were not "Must Carry" programs because they were not being optimized for all five markets. The National Program Director said they were not must
MARK SCHUBBThe National Program Director said they were not must carries. And Pat Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to be created and done. I agree with it should be carried on all five stations, but it does require creating programs that will actually not hurt the schedules of each of the five stations. So just for information purposes, we have had a completely different set of meetings, a completely different conversation with the Administrative Council, and every manager will confirm that it was said that the national programs were not must carries, and that if there weren't four votes to fund each time, the programs wouldn't continue. And actually, what's happened is because Bensky's program has not enough votes to continue funding and yet the show was continued anyway for some ridiculous reason.DR. BERRYNo. What is the answer to Mark's restriction of what the "Must Carry" policy is?FRANKNo. What is the answer to Mark's restriction of what the "Must Carry" policy is?FRANKI had, that's part of the difficulty of the determination. There are disagreements as to how it developed and what precisely the words		
MILLSPAUGH DR. BERRY No. What is the answer to Mark's restriction of what the "Must Carry" policy is? FRANK I had, that's part of the difficulty of the determination. There are MILLSPAUGH disagreements as to how it developed and what precisely the words	MARK SCHUBB	Scott was in that room as well in all those meetings, and if you ask any manager who was there, they'll tell you the same thing was true. What happened was there was supposed to be a policy that if four out of five voted to fund something, it would get funded. And then it was not a "Must Carry", but the assumption was these four stations would want it. WBAI, regardless of whether we should be carrying that show or not, was in compliance with what we were told were the agreements about how these shows were going to be created and done. I agree with the sentiment that if we're going to invest in a national production, it should be carried on all five stations, but it does require creating programs that will actually not hurt the schedules of each of the five stations. So just for information purposes, we have had a completely different set of meetings, a completely different conversation with the Administrative Council, and every manager will confirm that it was said that the national programs were not must carries, and that if there weren't four votes to fund each time, the programs wouldn't continue. And actually, what's happened is because Bensky's program has not enough votes to continue funding and yet the show was continued anyway for some ridiculous reason. Mark, let's find your committee. So what's the answer to this?
FRANKI had, that's part of the difficulty of the determination. There areMILLSPAUGHdisagreements as to how it developed and what precisely the words	MILLSPAUGH	No. What is the answer to Mark's restriction of what the "Must Carry"
		I had, that's part of the difficulty of the determination. There are disagreements as to how it developed and what precisely the words

DR. BERRY 	But did the board actually vote to have the "Must Carry" rule where if we have five stations who need to carry something, that it would be carried? Did that happen?
FRANK	Yes. That I believe is the case.
DR. BERRY	That did happen.
FRANK	Now, let me say, though, he's correct in the context of the
MILLSPAUGH	conversations principally around specials at that point, and this is a livethe show in question is a live call-in show. It's a live, three-hour show. That means that three o'clock may be a great time in the Berkeley area. It's actually at one, but let's hypothetically say, but that would be six o'clock in Washington and in New York, and would compete with the news. Now obviously, you wouldn't want to do that. But there is the problem that one o'clock is a great time to broadcast it probably. If we had it at one o'clock in New York we might broadcast it then. If we had it at two o'clock. By the time it gets on to four o'clock, we're running into other live, on-the-air shows. I think that's fair enough. Then there's the problem of preemption. The Executive Director has asserted that preemption can only be done with the Executive Director's prior permission. That may be a perfectly legitimate thing to do. I don't believe that that has ever had the
	<pre> force of board approval, and probably does not need to receive it. But I was asked by the Executive Director to hold this discussion in our committee to clarify and reaffirm or make recommendations for changes.</pre>
DR. BERRY	Basically the board has a policy on record, if I understand this
	correctly, which says that if three out of five stations want to carry something it must be carried. Doesn't say what time it must be carried. But in point of fact, the board policy has not been
	followed. And that not only has it not been followed, there are all kinds of
	ambiguities about who said what to whom and when did it happen, and how did we do it, and who's supposed to do what, and that's what I'm
	hearing. If that is the case, the only thing that's clear is that the policy hasn't been followed.
	So the question is, do we keep the policy or do we change it? Now you can have policies and if you don't insist that they are followed, then as far as I'm concerned, they're not worth the paper they're written
	on. Unless you just don't know that they're not being followed. And then once you find out, you tell people they have to. But it sounds to me like the shortest way to deal with this issue is to ask for the Program Committee to make a recommendation to the board at a date
	certain. In fact, make a recommendation to the executive committee before the next board meeting as to what we should do.
	Should we reiterate our policy? Should we change the policy to some other policy? Or what should we do? And all these issues that are
	being discussed here can be put into whatever the discussion is to be sorted out by the committee. We can't sort them out here; it would take us forever, and we'd never get them sorted out. And of course, people can take into account obvious things, like we have to figure out the timing and the ways to make surebut this is not a new problem.
	There are networks all over this country that have shows that are all over the country. And somebody must have sat down and figured out somewhere how you figure out what time to have a show in different
	parts of the country. I am sure this problem has been addressed before. And so somebody ought to be able to sit down and think through this problem and solve it at Pacifica. Which makes me believe that there are people in Pacifica who simply didn't want the problem
DR. BERRY	solved, which is what happens with other issues. And they wanted to do whatever they wanted to do, whoever the
	whomever's are, for perfectly good reasons. And that the thing got all gummed up and is not enforced because those who were supposed to enforce it didn't enforce it. So here we are. It may not even be a good policy. But if we could ask you to make a recommendation.
DR. BERRY KEN FORD	Yes? Not to make the process too onerous, I would also ask that you come
İ	back with a set of procedures. You know, the comment was that even

	though you have the "Must Carry" rule, there are times when it must be preempted for special events, special programming. We need to have a dedicated line of policy or procedures by which that could be instituted because I know we've had problems at WPFW we've got, "Okay, it's do that.". Then at the last minute, I was superseded by a higher authority, which screwed up the whole weekend for setting up
DR. BERRY	our fundraising drive. So we need to have that spelled out exactlysuch that we can know exactly what to do on the local level.But it has to be policy, and not details. We're writing constitutions.We're not writing statutes. So keep that in mind. They have to havesome flexibility, but the broad parameters can be okay. Withthat, is there any further discussion of this report? I call thequestion. All in favor of agreeing to this report with theexpectations we have, the burdens we have placed on Frank for variousreports, indicate by saying Aye.
GROUP	Aye.
DR. BERRY	Opposed? So ordered. The Board Governance and Structure Committee apparently does not havedoes it have a report? Does your task force have a report? The Board Governance and Structure Committee met on the
PETE BRAMSON	phone and the task force met too. Pete? Not knowing what the status of the Loretta Ross is, I would ask that maybe Dorothy and I can co-present our findings? If that's okay with you? This is a very quick, fairly cursory overview of what has been determined out of the New Jersey meetings as the Process Review Sub-Committee. The mission of the subcommittee was to review design and proposed processes and procedures that we hope will insure that communication input is available to all members of the layers of the Pacifica family. It was not the mission to go into specific detail, but to set up a process whereby things are, there's a flow of good communication, and everybody understands what the procedures are. Another issue that came out of one of our conference calls was that some processes and procedures are scattered throughout many sorts of documents. We would also like to undertake the task to gather the documents together into one accessible document. What we have kind of put together or made a suggestion of was for two flow charts that would be useful for everyone at Pacifica. The first flow chart would illustrate organizational relationships, in particular, a flow chart that shows who reports to whom within the Pacifica structure. We have kind of put together a draft of that, not ready to go to production. Thank you for your info on that, by the way. Then the second flow chart would be to illustrate how programming decisions are made. As had been exampled by our previous dialogue. The recommendation was to check with the programming committee of the governing board to see if they already have a flow chart. We have not gotten to that process yet. The item, came out of the New Jersey meeting. There was a recommendation from our subcommittee to take a look at if there are processes that are developed, that each Pacifica layer receive training and written guidelines for the processes for clarity and fairness, consistency and sustainability. So what we are in the process of doing is putting together some flow ch
	TRANGORIET

PACIFICA BOARD OF DIRECTORS HOUSTON, TEXAS SUNDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1998

Part 4

DOROTHY

Just that, we had two-telephone conference calls. In the first week,

NASATIR	outlined the process or processes to get approval from this board, to
	have input into already structured into documents that pertain to the board, for instance, the bylaws, and the strategic plan. There were people who thought that they wanted to make changes, but what is the
	process to do that? So that was what we worked on, and that was to be a presentation at this meeting. So we'llI guess we'll just do it at
	the next meeting. That was one of the things that we were going to present. Also, just to give a quick history, that's exactly why this committee grew. Because there were concerns about various documents,
	and the question was, what's the procedure to make changes? That's our charge.
DR. BERRY ANDREA CISCO	We thank you very much for that information and we expect to hear more from your subcommittee. So are there any questions about this?
PETE BRAMSON	just review them, I'll certainly distribute them. I have both sets, if the need is for both set, I have not a problem
CHERYL.F. BRADFORD	with distributing them. I think that in the minutes that grew up on the web-site, even if you've got a notation that the party that's going to formally do it isn't here, it would be good for us to know that there wasn't that conference committee.
DOROTHY NASATIR CHERYL F. BRADFORD	I think, Cheryl that is so accurate. Because it's one of the issues most of concern in our LAB. Yeah.
DOROTHY NASATIR	That there is this process. And that even the fact that this committee
PETE BRAMSON DOROTHY NASATIR PETE BRAMSON	So the request is to go over what? I mean before To go over the process. Our recommendation is to go over the processes to make changes in the strategic plan by Okay.
DOROTHY NASATIR	And to propose the responsibilities of LAB, those are the three documents.
PETE BRAMSON	First on our agenda, and I'll read again from the document in front of me which was processed through the subcommittee minutes from the 22nd. The first agenda item was how to insure that the input at the LABs is communicated with every board. The primary consideration was insuring that a democratic process was followed at every step. There are at least three pathways for LAB input at the governing board. 1) through their LAB representative on the governing board; 2) through the LAB
	council chairs; and 3) through the community assessment process, communicating to the general manager. It was decided that the following steps would be recommended: a) any member of an LAB may propose an issue. This proposed issue must first be voted on at the
	LAB level; b) if the proposal receives a majority vote of the LAB, then it would be mandated that the LAB chair present the proposal to the LAB Council of Chairs; c) if the proposal receives a majority vote from the LAB Council of Chairs, then the Council of Chairs will submit the proposal to the governing board; d) the governing board chair will then delegate consideration of the proposal to the appropriate governing board committee; e) upon consideration of the proposal, it becomes the responsibility of the governing board to communicate its decision to the Council of Chairs which in turn informs all of the
	LABs of the decision of the consideration of the governing board. Did that answer the question? Yes.
GROUP CHERYL F. BRADFORD 	And it seems to me that that speaks to the issue that created this committee. That maybe we wouldn't have been in that place if at the Council of Chairs, they had three proposals on the table, and if in that body they had decided which one should come forward to the
DETE BDAMCON	governing board. That it stay there until some decision is made so that as a governing board, we don't come to a meeting and all of a sudden, there's three distinctly different proposals and no way of working through it. I mean that seems reasonable.
PETE BRAMSON 	Well, the history of it, at it was about the LAB staff voting issue. That's where it came up from. And then one of the membership from the WBAI Council of Chairs had suggested if there were a by-law, the LAB by-law, in which a tremendous amount of work went into the document,

CHERYL F.And the third was that absolutely nothing happened.BRADFORDPETE BRAMSONAnd that's the history of how the subcommittee came up.VALERIE VANMadame Chair?ISLERDR. BERRYYes.VALERIE VANIt's important, and this whole concept or process has been not whereISLERDR. BERRYYes.VALERIE VANIt's important, and this whole concept or process has been not whereISLERit should be. It's been missing. It's been a vacuum. And I know tha you're trying to address that and it's terribly important. Given th new letter from CPB, we should not be putting ourselves through a l of hoops that in fact, you know, may not be the actual structure. That's just to put all your work and your dedication into context. Some cautions.DR. BERRYYes. Thank you for that caution. Yes. Pete.PETE BRAMSONI hope that there is still a feeling at the governing board level o the importance of this task. And that no matter what the changes ar that come about, that there is a process whereby people can be hear	s s bt
PETE BRAMSONAnd that's the history of how the subcommittee came up.VALERIE VANMadame Chair?ISLERISLERDR. BERRYYes.VALERIE VANIt's important, and this whole concept or process has been not whereISLERit should be. It's been missing. It's been a vacuum. And I know thayou're trying to address that and it's terribly important. Given thnew letter from CPB, we should not be putting ourselves through a lof hoops that in fact, you know, may not be the actual structure.That's just to put all your work and your dedication into context.Some cautions.DR. BERRYYes. Thank you for that caution. Yes. Pete.PETE BRAMSONI hope that there is still a feeling at the governing board level o the importance of this task. And that no matter what the changes are	s s bt
DR. BERRYYes.VALERIE VANIt's important, and this whole concept or process has been not whereISLERit should be. It's been missing. It's been a vacuum. And I know thatyou're trying to address that and it's terribly important. Given thenew letter from CPB, we should not be putting ourselves through a loof hoops that in fact, you know, may not be the actual structure.That's just to put all your work and your dedication into context.Some cautions.DR. BERRYPETE BRAMSONI hope that there is still a feeling at the governing board level othe importance of this task. And that no matter what the changes are	s s bt
VALERIE VANIt's important, and this whole concept or process has been not whereISLERit should be. It's been missing. It's been a vacuum. And I know thayou're trying to address that and it's terribly important. Given thnew letter from CPB, we should not be putting ourselves through a lofof hoops that in fact, you know, may not be the actual structure.That's just to put all your work and your dedication into context.Some cautions.DR. BERRYPETE BRAMSONI hope that there is still a feeling at the governing board level othe importance of this task. And that no matter what the changes are	s s bt
That's just to put all your work and your dedication into context.Some cautions.DR. BERRYPETE BRAMSONI hope that there is still a feeling at the governing board level othe importance of this task. And that no matter what the changes ar	e
PETE BRAMSON I hope that there is still a feeling at the governing board level o the importance of this task. And that no matter what the changes ar	e
that come about, that there is a process whereby people can be hear	ι,
that there is a sense of accountability, and that there's a sense o the fairness of what we're doing here. And no matter what the change	:
are, I think if that's continuous at the board level, the governing board level, we can do our best to insure that. CHERYL F. I think this committee could just revisit that one place where it so	ıys
BRADFORDthat, you know, the conduit for the informationor somethingthere's this connection between the LAB, the governing board memberand getting information for it. So I think that you can just refinethe committee can just refine that.	
PETE BRAMSON Oh yeah. It is hopefully a simple process and commonsensical, fair, and something we can work with.	
DR. BERRY I think just make sure you leave it in light of the fact that these	
are informational exchanges for everyone who is involved. DOROTHY I was just going to say the same thing. I think we're in flux in term NASATIR of CPB. But I think the fact that this committee exists to work on processes and procedures is really valuable because there's a missing	ĺ
link.	.9
DR. BERRYAnd we need the tons of documents you guys have come up with on organizational structure and various kinds of things that we don't have. Or if we have them, I don't know where they are. That is not formal committee report so we will move on and ask Ken for thebu we thank you, and let me ask Ken for the report of the Technical	
Committee.	
KEN FORD The Technical Committee has made an attempt to get started. We have not met physically but we did have a conference call last week. We': tryingthe purpose of the call primarily was somewhat of an organizational one whereby we're trying to get started to identify mission, to determine a charge, and just exactly what services we call	 ur
provide to the board and Pacifica at large. We pretty much have decided that we're going to pattern our mission	ļ
charge basically on what's in the strategic plan, and at the same t trying to ascertain a process whereby we can get input from the stations and identify problems both short term andas far as	.me
<pre>technical issuestrying to do some long range planning as to what direction we could offer the network as it pertains to new technologies coming about. Whether they're in the computer field or</pre>	
digital radio or global marketing through the Internet. So those ar some of the broad concepts we were looking to address.	:
Now one suggestion that we had and a method of trying to ascertain input was to, I should say two methods, was to try and do a survey all the stations identifying what type of equipment they have, their approach a signal in their respective evens their production of a signal in the station of the station	:
range of a signal in their respective areas, their need for upgrade in the future, trying to solicit information from the station managers, and at the same time, contact the local boards and find or	it
whether or not they have a Technical Committee locally that deals w these issues, and if there are problems locally, how we can filter that information up to our committee such that we can bring the issues	ĺ
<pre> forward to the board at large. Given the letter of the CPB and its implication, right now we're no sure as to how that's going to occur but we'll figure that out as t</pre>	

	goes on. We do have two issues, one being the tower at KPFK and the other being self-assistance. We've gotten some literature, some reports, at this meeting here, that members of the committee have, and that we're going to start analyzing. That and trying to form that in our next conference call. The way we thought that we would try and carry forth our business is to do a monthly conference call on the various issues. Before we do that, we would like the staff to tell us what budgetary impact that would cause, because we definitely don't
	what backgetty impact that would clube, because, because, we definitely an e want to raise the deficit since there's pretty much no money budgeted for this. We would like to know if it's going to be a nominal fee, we would like the permission of the chair to go ahead and start doing these monthly conference calls.
	One, to organize our committee, and two, to start bringing forth issues and recommendations, you know, long term things we want to look at like digital radio, and being able to bring the whole network up on the Internet. So that we can stop thinking nationally and start thinking globally as far as giving the message out of Pacifica across
	the world to the Internet which would be a minimal cost. And so, what we want to do is to do great and wonderful things in the technical field, and put out guides and white papers. Feel free you guys to
	think about it and maybe give direction for staffing long term. Before we do that we will need to get some type of feel of what we have as far as financial resources that we can do some of these things for in investigation and research. So that's basically it, Madame Chair.
DR. BERRY RALPH MCKNIGHT	Yes, Ralph. Yeah, I just wanted to tell Ken that I have a fairly extensive list of things, some information from our station manager about KPFK. Things
PETE BRAMSON	like that. But I'll reserve our next meeting.As I do with KPFA, I would suggest that it's a good time to look backat each individual station's strategic plan. During that work, I knowwhich one of the stations put a lot of work into the strategic planand there were also some technical components within that. Maybe it'll
KEN FORD	work better Yes, we're going to try to consolidate these but, you know, I know at my station we don't have that, and that's something we're going to
	have to answer to. I'm not sure about KPFT or WBAI. And that's something we're going to ascertain and at the next meeting we'll have a full blown report, and hopefully a written report, to the members of the board as to what our whole process is going to be and our whole activity such that you can give us guidance as to where you think we
DR. BERRY	ought to go.Well, the short answer about conference calls is that the committeeshould utilize them to the extent they need to. Number one. Numbertwo, if you have conference calls, keep in mind that committees arenot given budgets for conference calls.
KEN FORD DR. BERRY	That's correct. You arrange them when you need to have them, but the most important thing about conference calls is to be organized before you start a call. These are not free form, consensus type discussions. The clock is running.
KEN FORD DR. BERRY	Absolutely. We understand that. So that one should be organized before the call begins and one should run the meeting in such a way as to elicit comments in an orderly fashion, if possible. Try to cut off debate in a polite way, here and there, and to disseminate as much information as possible before the meeting by e-mail or by other means, so as to keep in mind the reduction of calls. Otherwise, a conference call that's free form can go on for hours before anybody even notices that you've been on the
KEN FORD	phone for that long.Madam Chair. You set a time limit of thirty minutes. And that is goingto be a hard and fast rule. All of the preliminary work such asletters and correspondence will be done well ahead of time, and thereis an agenda, things will be kept tightly on time so we will not havethat over.
DR. BERRY JUNE MAKELA	Yes. This sort of falls under technical. What dawns on me, and I'm not the best judge of this because I'm barely in the twentieth century when it comes to technology, is the use of e-mail and chat rooms and all this

	sort of stuff for these kinds of meetings. And it seems like, if you guys could investigate that for all of us, I'm struck by the inefficiency and the high cost of conference calls. And by how
	unpleasant they are, for me personally. And how many I seem to engage
	in. And that, I know other people, I've talked to other people casually about this, and that you, there are these things called chat
	rooms where you and I could have a discussion about an investment memo that got sent to us or something.
 ROBERTA	Everybody's not on the Net.
BROOKS	
JUNE MAKELA	But I think everybody should be. And we're getting there, that people
	are getting there. Yeah, right, if everybody isn't on, it doesn't work but I'm saying that there might be ways for us to start utilizing that
	technology.
KEN FORD	That's a valid comment and we'll
DR. BERRY	The committee might investigate that for all of us.
KEN FORD	Yes, we will. Because if it makes sense, then when people aren't on, it might be
	cheaper to put somebody on than to have conference calls all the time.
KEN FORD	We will investigate that. Right.
CHERYL F.	I just wanted to say that you know being on e-mail can be free. You
BRADFORD	just have to have a computer to get on. And you can get a used one.
DR. BERRY	Okay. We are deteriorating here. Let's see. Let's have a motion to
	move or accept hands.
FRANK	So moved.
MILLSPAUGH	
FEMALE SPEAKER	I second.
DR. BERRY	Okay. All in favor of the motion say aye.
GROUP	Aye.
DR. BERRY	Now I want to ask Shirley Adams, who is the chair of LAB here in Houston if she would mind coming up to greet us for Why don't you sit down there if you don't mind.
SHIRLEY ADAMS	Down there. Okay.
DR. BERRY	No, as a matter of fact, sit over here where David's supposed to sit. And we had some confusion because we forgot to put this on the agenda.
	So please accept my apologies, and we very much appreciate your just
SHIRLEY ADAMS	saying a few words to us. Well, accept my apologies for not being prepared, so I came as a spectator this morning. I do want to thank you for coming to Houston,
	and welcome. And I'm glad that, I'm very happy to be a part of the
	Pacifica Foundation of KPFT, and I'm real honored that you are here.
İ	And I'm real happy that you got to visit our little station, which is
	in bad need of repair, or a new building. I hope you see the real hard
	work and the quality of programming that we're able to produce from
	there. And also I just wanted to say that as a board member of KPFT,
	we're also very proud and happy to have produced our community ascertainment report.
SEVERAL	Good work. Good work. [applause]
DOROTHY	I wanted to ask a question, if you don't mind.
NASATIR	
SHIRLEY ADAMS	
	You've had conference calls with the other chairs of the other LABs.
SHIRLEY ADAMS	Yes.

PACIFICA BOARD OF DIRECTORS HOUSTON, TEXAS SUNDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1998

Part 5

DOROTHY

Could you give us a kind of little overview of, Some of the issues that have been brought up or anything you just want to share with us?

SHIRLEY ADAMS	I think the issues that I'm most familiar with that are not specific to other stations are the community ascertainment report that we've all been working on. And also, the board recruitment. And those are the two primary issues that I'm aware of among different Council of Chairs.
DR. BERRY	And they were very happy to hear about your ascertainment. And you keep telling them that you know how to do it.
SHIRLEY ADAMS 	No, I told them I think that we just decided to do it, you know, and learn from our mistakes and hopefully do something better the next time. But I think it was just important for us to try it, take a stab at it, and look at the materials that we had.
ROBERTA BROOKS	And were you happy with it?
	Yes. It was a real learning experience. I think we also recognized that we need to do a lot more work, that we have to be very careful about making subjective opinions based on the information that we have. And we said during this last conference call that it would be very helpful if there were some independent company who could conduct a survey in each of the listening areas. But we've recognized that is far too expensive.
DR. BERRY FRANK MILLSPAUGH	Yes. Yes. Well, if we're still on the subject, let me, no; I wanted to introduce a different subject.
DR. BERRY CHERYL F. BRADFORD 	Oh. Okay. Who's still on this subject?I just wondered if the Council of Chairs has a process where they knowthat they've been covered in this meeting. Do you in your phoneconferences agree on the points that are going to come forward?
SHIRLEY ADAMS	Yes. I think it's a good idea. Because now it's pretty much up to each person whether they simply want to be host to the local hearing and answer questions or whether they want to present more. Some of the council chairs come and try to make a presentation. Others come and they greet us, and they have an exchange with us, and we may ask a few questions, so it is dependent upon the taste of the person who's actually doing it, whether they want to do it.
SHIRLEY ADAMS	Well, I think there is some confusion as to what a chair's role should be. I mean we have two representatives to the national board, who are presenting our issues to the national board on a regular basis, so I'm not sure it's incumbent upon me as a chair to do that to the national board.
CHERYL F. BRADFORD	I think that you're representing a dialogue that would be differentfrom, say the dialogue that I represent as a LAB remember, if wecontinue to even look at it like that. But you're representing thiskind of global look that the LAB chairs have. And that's notnecessarily what happens month to month at a LAB meeting.
	That's true, I have to confess to some confusion in that area. You're not really confused because there isn't any process that has been definitively decided upon. Otherwise, you know, we're all confused. It is true that at the last Council of Chairs we discussed a number of issues. One was the usual issue of rumors. Everyone had heard a rumor about something or other, including the deficit in the National Office. Which I explained did exist, a small one, and that was a problem, which was being reduced. And that any rumors they had heard about that were accurate. The size, the dimensions, were probably not anything that we discussed.
	There was a small deficit, which would be taken care of. And they'd heard rumors about "Living Room" having problems, the Bensky issue.
	And then there was some discussion about the minority recruitment goals of the LABs, with some people saying we have a hard time recruiting, and other people saying they don't understand why they have a hard time recruiting. It usually comes up in terms of the Bay Area, your LAB Cheryl and Pete. And then, there's always somebody else on the call who says they don't understand why folks can't find anybody because every time they go to the Bay Area, they see people from all kinds of groups walking around in the streets. I simply reminded them that this goal was why we expected everybody to make a
İ	good faith effort, and that most of the LABs were doing fine.

1	
	We don't understand what the problem is in the Bay Area. Which means, I will say this now, we do, I'd haven't said this to the council chairs, but we do have the power to reconstitute the LABs. We did it in LA. So you can tell your LAB, if they can't find anybody, maybe
	they need the national board, or the board governance committee to
 CHERYL F. BRADFORD	<pre> take you into receivership. I think that there's a step before that. I mean frankly, I don't know the problem just because we live in the most diverse community in the </pre>
	country. But I think that there is something about technical assistance. Even in terms of technical assistance in that area, there
	is something about, maybe because that region is such a huge region and there are so many communities, it's an awesome task. And I think
 DR. BERRY	<pre>it's the work of getting started. I really like your Nike slogan, just do it. And that's what it takes, just us doing it. Yeah, maybe. What's the other reason?</pre>
PETE BRAMSON	I am not here to go into the length of reasons but only to say that it will be completed, and we will find them. In fact, we will meet on
	Tuesday to complete more work, to seat the one individual and expect to seat a couple more very quickly.
DR. BERRY	That will be great. Because that will be good news.
PETE BRAMSON 	So I am not prepared unless directed do so, to issue the threat that says the board will be reconstituted unless I am asked to do so directly by you.
DR. BERRY	Well, the expression I used was not a "threat" quote-unquote. When I wish to threaten someone, I know how to do that. All in my experience.
	And I do not threaten people lightly. All I said was, that I was reminded that the board does have the authority to reconstitute LABs
	because we did it with the LA folk. I just remembered by looking at Ralph and Dorothy that we had done this. And I'd forgotten all about
	the power to do that. So that one of the answers may be that if the LAB finds it impossible to do so, maybe the board governance committee could help them by taking over the LAB in receivership, working with
 PETE BRAMSON	the people on the LAB as we did in LA to help them find some people. That was all I said. This was intended to be helpful.
DR. BERRY	And so we look forward to the addition of new members to the LAB in Berkeley. Yes.
DOROTHY NASATIR	I don't know where this is appropriate, but I did want to report from our LAB. We now have fifteen members, and six are people of color.
DOROTHY NASATIR	Can I just add this? We have another person of color, but this person is a staff member and there's still confusion about the staff person
DR. BERRY	being counted, a paid staff person being counted as a board member. Why do we have confusion when the documents are well
DOROTHY	Our board does not honor that document. That's the old board. We have new members. Things will change.
DR. BERRY ROBERTA	Well, the new board has to acknowledge that the board did pass that document. They are guided by that document. That is what we expect. I just want to say one thing about KPFA. I think what happened and
BROOKS	what got KPFA off track was an effort to respond to the strong push by the public to have elected boards. And there was an initial
	recruitment process that was done, soliciting people who were interested. And that resulted in a predominantly white board. We never
	had this problem at KPFA before. When I was active on the KPFA advisory board, I personally recruited many people of color. We never
	had an imbalance before, and I think the imbalance was created by what was at the time a good faith attempt to correct another problem. And
	the result had been another problem. And so I have talked with the chair, and there's wonderful ways to recruit people and it involves a lot of work and it involves people getting together and brainstorming,
	and making calls. It should not be a problem. And I'll be happy to help.
DR. BERRY	We appreciate that. On all the other points, though, at the Council of Chairs meeting that had anything to do with everybody's interest as
	opposed to the interest of some particular station. There was concern about the archives and whether the archives were disintegrating and whether there is somebody who could be in charge. But since then an
İ	Archivist has been hired as we heard yesterday, so that matter should

	be taken care of. So that's what happened at the Council of Chairs, and I want to thank you very much for being here and thank you for your work. Oh, you've got another live inquiry.
FRANK MILLSPAUGH 	No, it's not a live inquiry. I wanted to ask if it would be appropriate for the board to express our appreciation for the reception last night, the little bag of goodies and generally the gracious reception, which we always have here at the hands of KPFT.
DR. BERRY	Right. I think that was wonderful. I had a great time. People here are so gracious. We expect that though.
FRANK MILLSPAUGH DR. BERRY	It always comes as a shock to me.
DR. BERRY	We were not able to find a transcriber to transcribe the board meeting today, so we are taping it and will have it transcribed afterwards, and hope that they will not be gaps in the transcription. So please proceed. Cheryl.
CHERYL G. SHAW	The packet of information compiled by the general managers was a six, over six month effort, and I think the general managers actually have been working on a fiftieth anniversary for over a year and a half. I actually came in at the tail end of the discussions, but I think within the packet we have developed projects within each community that are very realistic in terms of what we're capable of doing in celebration of fifty years of Pacifica. It is not a capital campaign, however. It is a celebration and a thrust for the next fifty years of what Pacifica is all about. The detail of the specific projects is outlined in that packet. I'd be happy to share that with any members who did not receive it. However, it does by project indicate the revenue and expense projections for each project. What we are looking at raising is almost half a million dollars, in excess of operations revenue. That is a net figure. In addition, Micheal Palmer has asked that each of the committee members be assigned a particular station to work with and monitor through this entire process such that they can help on the local level with any activities that have been proposed. The National Office with the Controller will be monitoring on a quarterly basis the progress in terms of the financial impact of the organization. The budgets that were submitted by the station were submitted to the Finance Committee for consideration because what we are asking is that the projects be funded through operational support. However, in speaking with the Controller, it will have no direct financial impact on operations. It is a cash flow issue more than anything else is, and that's why there is the need for the close monitoring along the way. Just to preface what happened before this meeting, the Controller and the chair of the committee and myself did have extensive conversations in reviewing the first and second drafts of the budgets to come up with the final recommendations to the board.
DR. BERRY	And basically, that's the report of the committee. Are there any further questions? Because any of us who were in the meeting will be able to discuss this report. Are there any further questions about this committee's report? I only have a question. And that is some boards, one of the candidates for the Executive Director job mentioned this, require people on boards to make contributions to the organization. I was wondering if that is standard practice, and does this board think that we ought to have the requirement. We do.
SPEAKER DR. BERRY 	For board minutes to make contributions. And is there a requirement, and if there is, please apprise me of what this requirement is.
JUNE MAKELA	There is. Everyone has agreed to be required to make a financial contribution to Pacifica.
DR. BERRY	So everybody has agreed to this. So we assume that everyone is doing it. Yeah, we don't actually check with each new member as they come on,
	but many years ago we made this decision as a board, it's in some minutes somewhere, that to enhance fundraising for the organization, to make it easier on the staff, we needed the staff to be able to say that they have a hundred percent support from the board, that everyone is making a financial contribution to the level they are able.

DR. BERRY	Cheryl ?
CHERYL F.	I was not asked, in sitting on this board, if I could or would make
BRADFORD	financial contributions. I just want to correct that. I think that's a pretty specific request and it should be clear in advance, and maybe
	there'd be people like me that fundraise for an amount of money, but that would have to be in place and clear before someone invited me to
	sit on a board.
JUNE MAKELA 	Yes, that's the problem of communication that's typical of this organization that the board makes decisions and they don't get conveyed to anybody. So it's not anybody's fault. I mean, it has fallen through the cracks, clearly.
CHERYL F.	I'm not looking to assign blame. I don't need to be embarrassed about
BRADFORD	it either. No, that's right, but it fell through the cracks, and it should be,
CHERYL F. BRADFORD	and it used to happen on the national development committee I would like to caution one thing about that though, is that there should be, you know, if you're going to make a stipulation like that.
 ROBERTA BROOKS	You know, I'm not indigent, I'm just broke. There is no set amount.
DR. BERRY	You mean a person could give a penny if they wanted to and this would be sufficient?
JUNE MAKELA DR. BERRY	Yes. That's right. Five dollars.
DR. BERRI	the requirement that I didn't know existed, the current requirement that exists could be satisfied if one were to pay a penny. Is that correct?
JUNE MAKELA	Yes.
DR. BERRY	So that if someone contributed a penny a year to Pacifica, they would be in compliance. And then you can tell foundations and everyone that we have full compliance by the board with making contributions to the
 JUNE MAKELA	organization. Is that correct? As the current requirement stands.
FRANK	I simply wanted to say that as far as that's vitiated by further
MILLSPAUGH 	requirements and especially capital campaigns going on, that we contribute to our station of origin, as it were. However, in the past when there was a take back effort No. I take that back. There was a fundraising effort. Each of us took responsibility to raise a
	certain amount of money. And I believe some did.
ROBERTA BROOKS 	When we started the National Programming Fund, Dick Bunce was the National Development Director, and at that time, there were positive plans to go to a number of foundations for national programs, which we
	did do. And it was very important for the National Development Director, as you well know, to be able to say that I have the full backing and full support of our board, and that our board also
	backing and full support of our board, and that our board also contributes financially. So I took it upon myself as the Development
	Committee Chair to dun everybody. We made a decision that this would
	be a commitment on the part of every board member. This is in addition to, for those who are on LABs, to being a member
	of their station. They have to be a subscriber, because they get their
	minimal amount. Then in addition to that every board member would make a contribution to the Pacifica Foundation. And I would call people
	diligently and send out my little letters diligently, and people
	eventually coughed up whatever he or she was able to afford. There was
	no minimum amount at that time. So there is nobody on this board that should have any problem with being able to fulfill that commitment. I
	haven't been doing the follow up because there's no Development
	Committee, and it fell through the cracks, but it is a commitment on
JUNE MAKELA	the part of the board, and I think it should be reinstated. Can I make a recommendation, a simple recommendation? This should
	become the task of the Secretary. And it could be written in as a task
	of the Secretary of the board, once a year, send out a letter, and it could be signed by the chair, saying, you know, "Tis the time of year when we all will" you know.
DR. BERRY	Suppose the board, the Chair of the board, sends a letter. And I did
	send a letter because they gave me one, but I didn't know it was a requirement. I sent a letter once last year sometime saying, "It's
	Christmas even or holly night," some dog-gone thing. And you might

I	think about a donation to Pacifica while you're thinking about all
	your little goodies.
JUNE MAKELA	Right. It's more of a like Secretary remembers, the Chair sends out a letter.
KEN FORD	I got your letter but I sent it to a local station.
FRANK	Yeah, I thought it said, you know, for your local station.
MILLSPAUGH DR. BERRY	I did not know that there was this requirement. So now the fact that
DR. BERRI	this requirement already exists and that it can be satisfied by
	paying, does it still unnerve people?
MALE SPEAKER	No.
DR. BERRY	Yes. Ralph.
MCKNIGHT	Oh yeah. [laughs] This time I know who I am. It's standard practice among most boards, especially those boards representing you know huge
	organizations, that are well-heeled by well-meaning people, to require
	three or four thousand dollar membership and all that shit. But in the
	case of Pacifica, I think it would be sufficient to say, a penny yes, that's a bit pedantic, like Frank says, say sixteen cents, but that
	you give to the best of your ability, you know. I have a
	recommendation, however, that you might consider, and some of you
	during the night have discussed along with some of our listeners in LA. I used to be on the board of trustees at the Barnstone Junior Arts
	Center in New Rochester in Los Angeles. And they had an organization
	called The Friends of the Junior Arts Center. And the Friends of the
	Junior Arts Center were some very, very, very, very, very rich folks
	who had all kinds of special talents and influences. And in order for these people to be on that board, Friends of the Junior Arts Center,
	they had to give \$10,000 or something like that. It was a very
	prestigious thing; it's a very prestigious thing to belong. Now we
	might consider that kind of Friends of Pacifica organization, you know.
DR. BERRY	And have the Friends of Pacifica give.
RALPH	Yes.
MCKNIGHT	
DR. BERRY	Well, that's an idea that can be considered if it has not been considered. I think people should give according to what they can,
	even though it might and all things are relative, so just put it in perspective. Another board that I'm on, everybody on the board's
	rich except me, and so they, you know, when it comes time to give
	money to the placeI mean when I say rich, I mean really rich, like
	rich rich. And so they will say to you, they will sit there at the table and say, well, you know I will give fifty thousand, and why
	don't you raise me and give a hundred thousand, you know, and they're
	just sitting there giving away this money, and it's more money than I
	would make in a year or two. And I give my little mite, and I don't feel embarrassed. Because when they send the list out, they get all
	these big numbers up here, way down at the bottom, [laughter] Mary
	made her mite. Because I contribute in other ways, by giving them
	legal advice, by counseling the president, I give them all sorts of
	things that they don't know how to do, so I figure I'm telling them what I can do, but I like giving, because they can say, everybody
	gives, and that's important.
ROBERTA	And it was to go to the National Program Fund. Isn't that right?
BROOKS JUNE MAKELA	Yes.
DR. BERRY	Okay. Could I get a motion to accept the board's 50th Anniversary
	Committee report?
ROBERTA BROOKS	So moved.
KEN FORD	Second.
DR. BERRY	Any further discussion? All in favor indicate by saying Aye.
GROUP	Aye. Opposed? So ordered. Are there any other matters that you wish to
DR. BERRY 	discuss beforeyes, Loretta.
LORETTA ROSS	Yes Madame Chair. I offer the group and the board an apology. I
	arrived at 2:00 this morning and somehow slept through the alarm, so
	I'm deeply chagrined that I'm late but I was too ashamed not to come after I woke up.
I	arour r worke up.

DR. BERRY	Somebody should have called you.
LORETTA ROSS	Well, they tried to. I did have a wakeup call, so I actually slept
	through that. But I understand that my committee intended to give a
	report and probably did a very good job because they're a very
İ	hardworking committee so I want to commend them although I offer my
i	apologies for not being here.
DR. BERRY	Okay. All right. We appreciate that very much. We're just glad you
	made it. And we hope you got some rest because you must have needed
	it.
LORETTA ROSS	I actually did. I've been up several nights in a row, and then last
	night's life was hell.
DR. BERRY	Okay. We can now, yesis there something else?
ROBERTA	Yes.
BROOKS	
DR. BERRY	New issues?
ROBERTA	Yes.
BROOKS	
DR. BERRY	Two new issues, we have a few minutes before we adjourn.
ROBERTA	One is that, you know, we all, I think we should make a statement of
BROOKS	our decision to Pat Scott who's leaving the organization. By the way I
	did order some flowers, and some of you said that you would contribute
	to that so anybody that wants to contribute, let me know. They're
	sixty dollars.
DR. BERRY	So you can contribute to the extent of your ability.
ROBERTA	I don't want coins in my coin purse. They weigh too much. So I think
BROOKS	we ought to make some order of, you know, formal order, in that
	regard, so.
DR. BERRY	I think what we should say is that the board at its meeting of October
	4, 1998 expressed its deep appreciation for the long years of service
	of Patricia Scott to Pacifica, the entire organization, including her
	service as station manager, Executive Director for the national
	organization. We made great strides for the organization during her
	tenure, and we very much appreciate her work, and she will be very
	much missed. And we express every wish for her continued success and
	her speedy recovery from her illness. Anybody disagree? We should
	formalize that as a motion.
FRANK	So moved.
MILLSPAUGH	Í Í
MALE SPEAKER	Second.
DR. BERRY	All in favor.
GROUP	Aye.
DR. BERRY	And there was a great resounding
GROUP	[Here. Here. [applause]
ROBERTA	The second item has to do with members of this board and their
BROOKS	attendance. I am extremely upset about their attendance at this
	meeting. I want to go on the record to say that I have also been only
	notified by one station as to an alternate, and that was WPFW, who
	notified us that Wendell Johns would be replacing Rob Robinson who had
	a death in the family. I heard nothing from KPFT directlyI mean I
	heard it around the bush but we didn't get a formal statement, and I
	didn't hear from the chair about Bill Lucy. I do think it's extremely
	incumbent on people that if you're not going to attend the committee
	meetings the day before the meeting, they shouldn't come to the board
	meetings the day before the meeting, they shouldn't come to the board meeting. The work happens in the committees. It's very expensive for
	this organization. There are people here, and if they're only going to
	come for the morning, I don't think it's worth coming, and I think the
	people have to communicate to me as the Secretary ahead of time so we
	can discuss that.
DR. BERRY	Now David is not here because he e-mailed me that his mother, his
	family, is having some kind of reunion celebration for this mother,
	and that it was going on last night, yesterday and that he would try
	to get here this morning. He didn't know with his family all being in
	town and all the responsibilities of that, if time would permit him to
	do so even though we need him right here in Houston. I urged him to
	try to get here for part of yesterday's meeting because we were
	interviewing people. He said he just couldn't because he had to go to
	some meeting to arrange something so that happened to be a
	longstanding item that he said that his family put together without

 LORETTA ROSS	<pre>him knowing when the meeting was going to be and that he was really sorry. I just thought I would explain that on the record. Yes. I actually passed on that I wouldn't be able to be here yesterday</pre>
	too, but I just wanted to make the smaller session. A lot of committee work takes place between meetings too, not just the day before a board meeting.
ROBERTA BROOKS	Except yesterday we were selecting a new Executive Director.
LORETTA ROSS DR. BERRY	I understand that. I understand that. And the only reason why you're here at this Loretta, is because you're not here.
LORETTA ROSS DR. BERRY	Yes. If you were not here, the ones who are here, aren't here now. So you suffered in hell.
LORETTA ROSS	Well that's usually the case with serving on this board [laughing]. But I think, so that I can give some support to Roberta Brooks and her chagrin, it is indeed true that we would hold people to try to come to the meeting. It's very difficult, I'm sure you all have schedules, things to do, I certainly do. And so, for us to carve out time to come here, it is hard. To come wherever we have to go. But it's difficult, and it's stressful. Because it takes days to recover from this experience. But the work itself is arduous and there's a lot of tension. And the rest of it. So let us hope that people will try to come to these meetings. Because I am asking people, when we set the meetings whether they can come. So if I continue to do that, I hope everybody will show up. Why don't we have a break before we do the public comment. Because we have about ten minutes. Do we have a public comment?
FRANK MILLSPAUGH	We ran into this once before where we held public comment a little early. Because some people show up at exactly the time they're supposed to make the public comment, and they get really miffed if we've already adjourned or held it.
KEN FORD	Frank, this is not New York. Yes. What I will do is, yes Pete.
PETE BRAMSON	I just want to recognize the board. I think we did a lot of very hard work yesterday. There were some very difficult conversations that needed to have happen. And I'm as always, proud to be a member of this board.
DR. BERRY	Fantastic. Here, here for all of us. Let's take a break until 11:00, which is only ten minutes. Did you tell me how much time?
KEN FORD DR. BERRY FEMALE SPEAKER	Ten minutes. And we will see if anyone is here for public comment. I just want to mention that everybody should make their arrangement to the airport at the front desk.
DR. BERRY	[10 MINUTE BREAK] I'm speaking pleasecome to order. But I did want to point out that David did send Susan Doe yesterday to sit in on some of the interviews in his place. So he did do that. And I had mentioned that earlier when I was speaking. And David as you all know is a responsible board member. But we all, we have one mother. We've got that. So I can understand his particular situation. Now, we are ready for the public comment part of the meeting.
VANESSA RANSOM	No one has shown up.
BOB FEMALE	My name is Bob and you are doing a great job.
SPEAKER DR. BERRY	Does anyone else think we're doing a great job?
FEMALE SPEAKER	Garland's got his hand up.
GARLAND GANTER	I just wanted to let people know that everyone here are nice, good folks from the KPFT advisory board and staff who just want to kind of lend their moral support. These are not like secret members of the public that are going to accost you. You met Susan Gallek yesterday. And Shirley Adams, our local advisory board chair. And Edmundo Resendez our program director, Jeanie Hardroff our membership director, Bob Cham director of engineering and Henry Horn former advisory board chair and current advisory board member. Thank you

	all.
GARLAND	We've been running announcements all weekend that you are going to be
GANTER	here.
DR. BERRY	Well, then that would conclude our meeting because we have been here
	at the stated time and open for the public comment thereof. There is
	no list. So could I get a motion that we adjourn?
MALE SPEAKER	So moved.
DR. BERRY	Second?
WILLIAM JAMES	Second.
DR. BERRY	The motion is carried. We are adjourned. Thank you.